1	G. SCOTT EMBLIDGE, State Bar No. 121613					
2	emblidge@meqlaw.com RACHEL J. SATER, State Bar No. 147976					
3	sater@meqlaw.com ANDREW E. SWEET, State Bar No. 160870					
4	sweet@meqlaw.com MOSCONE, EMBLIDGE, & QUADRA, LLP					
5	220 Montgomery Street, Suite 2100 San Francisco, California 94104-4238					
6	Telephone: (415) 362-3599 Facsimile: (415) 362-2006					
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff					
8	MAUREEN E. MCCLAIN, State Bar No. 062050					
9	mcclain@kmm.com ALEX HERNAEZ, State Bar No. 201441					
10	hernzez@kmm.com MATTHEW P. VANDALL, State Bar No. 196962					
l 1	vandall@kmm.com KAUFF MCCLAIN 7 MCGUIRE LLP					
12	One Post Street, Suite 2600 San Francisco, California 94104					
13	Telephone: (415) 421-3111 Facsimile: (415) 421-0938					
14	Attorneys for Defendants					
15	INITED OF A TEG DIGTRICT COLUMN					
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
۱7	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
18	COYNESS L. ENNIX JR., M.D., as an	Case No.: C 07-2486 WHA				
19	individual and in his representative capacity under Business & Professions	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CLARIFYING COURT'S				
20	Code § 17200 et seq.,					
21	Plaintiff,	JUNE 7 ORDER ALTERING BRIEFING AND HEARING DATES				
22	vs.					
23	RUSSELL D. STANTEN, M.D., et al.,					
24	Defendants.					
25	Defendants.					
26	1. On June 7, the Court issued an Order Altering The Briefing and Hearing Dates					
27	Relating To Defendants' Special Motion To Strike. On its face, the June 7 Order does not					
28	explicitly state whether it also applies to the briefing and hearing dates for defendants' Motion t					
		1				

1	Dismiss. Plaintiff had sought to alter the briefing and hearing dates for both motions defendants				
2	filed.				
3	2. The parties agree that the briefing and hearing schedule stated in the Court's June				
4	7 Order should apply both to defendants' Special Motion to Strike and to defendants' Motion to				
5	Dismiss.				
6	3. Acco	Accordingly, the parties stipulate that the following schedule shall apply to both			
7	motions:				
8	a.	Plaintiff's Opposition Papers Filed:		July 12, 2007	
9	b.	Defendants' Reply Papers Filed:		July 19, 2007	
10	c.	Hearing:		August 16, 2007	
11	It is SO STIPULATED:				
12	DATED: June 7 20	007	MOSCONE EM		
13	DATED: June 7, 2007 MOSCONE, EMBLIDGE & QUADRA, LLP				
14			$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{W}^*}$	/S/	
15			G. SC	/S/ COTT EMBLIDGE	
16	DATED: June 7, 2007			KAUFF McCLAIN & McGUIRE LLP	
17					
18			By:	/S/	
19	By:/S/ MATTHEW P. VANDALL				
20	Attorneys for Defendants				
21					
22					
23	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.				
24					
25	D.A. TEND	2005			
26	DATED:	, 2007	William Alsu		
27	::ODMA\PCDOCS\KMM-SF\118698\1		United States District Court Judge		
28					
	2 STIPULATION AND ORDER Case No. C 07-2486 WHA				
		STIFULAT	TON AND UNDER	Case IVO. C 07-2400 WHA	